Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/627,544	JONES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN B. STREGE	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>JOHN B. STREGE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa Swiszcz Hazzard</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9-10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 9-10 were improper since they were multiple dependent claims depending on another multiple independent claim. The Examiner suggested amending to make a series of proper dependent claims and take out the multiple dependency. The Applicant agreed and an Examiner's amendment was prepared.